ManipVQA: Injecting Robotic Affordance and Physically Grounded Information into Multi-Modal Large Language Models

laroslav Ponomarenko Peking University Center on Frontiers of Computing Studies

IROS '24 ABU DHABI

 $\mathbf{0}\mathbf{0}$

- Multi-Modal Large Language Models (MLLMs)
 - Excel at general vision tasks
 - Face challenges in robotic manipulation tasks

Struggle to recognize affordances and physical properties of objects

ManipVQA overcomes these limitations by

Infusing robotics-specific knowledge into MLLMs

This empowers MLLMs to understand objects usage and physical properties, enhancing their ability to solve complex manipulation tasks





Unified VQA Format for General Vision and Robotics Specific Tasks





Specialized Training on Affordance, Physically Grounded, and General Visual Reasoning Datasets

General Visual Reasoning Datasets

PACO, RefCOCO, and Visual Genome Rich sources of information on parts and attributes of common objects

PhysObjects Dataset We use annotations for liquid storage suitability, seal-ability, and transparency

Robotic Affordance Datasets

HANDAL Dataset 212 hardware and kitchen tools with annotated handle locations



Х

Augmented Instructions with GPT-4 Contextually rich affordance-based tasks

ManipVQA: Injecting Robotic Affordance and Physically Grounded Information into Multi-Modal Large Language Models

Physically Grounded Dataset

RGB-D Part Affordance Dataset 105 kitchen, workshop, and garden tools with 7 pre-defined affordances





Built on SPHINX and Llama2: Fine-tuning for Robotic Manipulation





- ManipVQA enhances MLLMs for robotics manipulation
 - Expands existing datasets with GPT-4 augmentation
 - Fine-tuning for balance between general vision and manipulation-specific tasks
 - It enables recognition of objects affordances and physical properties







ManipVQA Outperforms Previous Models in Robotic Specific Vision Tasks





Performance Evaluation within the SAPIEN Simulator using PartNet-Mobility Dataset

		Training Categories													
Method	ţ۵			-						Ŵ	Ē	Ä		((0:::	
Where2Act [38]	0.26	0.36	0.19	0.27	0.23	0.11	0.15	0.47	0.14	0.24	0.12	0.56	0.68	0.07	0.40
FlowBot3D [39]	0.67	0.55	0.20	0.32	0.27	0.31	0.61	0.68	0.15	0.28	0.18	0.21	0.70	0.18	0.26
ManipLLM [4]	0.68	0.64	0.36	0.77	0.43	0.62	0.65	0.61	0.65	0.52	0.40	0.64	0.71	0.60	0.64
Ours	0.67	0.87	0.46	0.91	0.56	0.42	0.69	0.79	0.41	0.53	0.69	1.00	0.53	0.17	0.58
		Tr	aining (Categor	ies				Testin	g Cate	gories				
Method	Æ		aining (Categor	ies	X			Testin	g Cate	gories		Ē		AVG
Method Where2Act [38]	0.13	Tr	aining (Categor	ies	0.35	0.38	0.28	Testin 0.05	g Cate () ()	gories	0.20	0.15	0.15	AVG 0.25
Method Where2Act [38] FlowBot3D [39]	0.13 0.17	Tr 	aining (Categor	ies 0.18 0.23	X 0.35 0.10	0.38 0.60	0.28 0.39	Testin 0.05 0.27	g Cate 0.21 0.42	gories	0.20 0.51	0.15 0.13	0.15 0.23	AVG 0.25 0.35
Method Where2Act [38] FlowBot3D [39] ManipLLM [4]	0.13 0.17 0.41	Tr 	aining (0.13 0.29 0.44	Categor	ies 0.18 0.23 0.38	0.35 0.10 0.22	0.38 0.60 0.81	0.28 0.39 0.86	Testin 0.05 0.27 0.38	ag Cates 0.21 0.42 0.85	gories 0.17 0.28 0.42	0.20 0.51 0.83	0.15 0.13 0.26	0.15 0.23 0.38	AVG 0.25 0.35 0.57

Our model achieves robust performance without fine-tuning on samples from the simulator





For more technical details and evaluation results, please refer to the original paper



